International Journal of Language and Literary Studies
Volume 7, Issue 4, 2025
Homepage : http://ijlls.org/index.php/ijlls

Multivocal Survival: Narrative Agency and Ecological Ethics in *The Year of the Flood*

Zakiyah Tasnim
Associate Professor, Department of English, Faculty of Arts and Humanities, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh
zakiyah@cu.ac.bd

DOI: http://doi.org/ 10.36892/ijlls.v7i4.2268
APA Citation: Tasnim, Z. (2025). Multivocal Survival: Narrative Agency and Ecological Ethics in *The Year of the Flood*. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies*. *7*(*4*).405-415. http://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v7i4.2268

Received: *23/06/2025*
Accepted: *30/07/2025*
Keywords: *Margaret Atwood, The Year of the Flood, Storytelling and survival, Multivocal narrative, Anthropocene narrative..*

Abstract

This article explores storytelling as a critical survival strategy in Margaret Atwood's The Year of the Flood, the second novel in her MaddAddam trilogy. Departing from existing scholarship that emphasizes biotechnology, environmental collapse, and capitalist critique, this study foregrounds narrative as a psychological, ethical, and political tool of resistance for marginalized characters. Drawing on ecocritical and feminist frameworks—including Karen Stein's theory of narrative empowerment, Ursula Heise's concept of multiscalar narration, and Rob Nixon's idea of slow violence—the article analyzes Atwood's multivocal structure through the perspectives of Toby, Ren, and Adam One. These three narrators illustrate how storytelling functions as a means of trauma processing, identity formation, and communal resilience. The article argues that Atwood presents narrative as a cultural technology of survival—one that preserves memory, fosters ecological consciousness, and challenges dominant technoscientific paradigms. In a world fractured by the climate crisis, Atwood reclaims storytelling as both epistemology and existential necessity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent flash flood along the Guadalupe River in Texas—claiming over 120 lives and leaving more than 150 individuals missing—has served as a stark reminder of the accelerating climate crisis. As climate scientist Claudia Benitez-Nelson notes, "This is not a one-off anymore. Extreme rainfall events are increasing across the U.S. as temperatures rise" (Benitez-Nelson, 2025). In the face of such environmental catastrophes, while scientists and policymakers mobilize technological and legislative responses, literature too engages with ecological crises by offering modes of cultural and emotional reckoning. Among such contributions, Margaret Atwood's speculative fiction stands out for its imaginative engagement with climate change and its socio-political repercussions.

This article focuses on *The Year of the Flood* (2009), the second installment in Atwood's *MaddAddam* trilogy, and explores the function of storytelling as a survival strategy within the context of ecological collapse. Rather than examining the novel solely through the lens of climate fiction or ecocriticism, this study foregrounds the narrative act itself—as a psychological, ethical, and communal tool through which Atwood's characters endure trauma, assert agency, and envision alternative futures. Drawing upon narrative theory, ecofeminism, posthumanist critique, and eco-critical frameworks, the analysis demonstrates how storytelling in *The Year of the Flood* emerges as a vital mechanism for individual resilience, intergenerational knowledge transmission, and resistance to dominant techno-scientific paradigms.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The role of storytelling in *The Year of the Flood* can be illuminated through a range of theoretical perspectives that foreground narrative as a tool of empowerment, ecological awareness, and resistance. Professor Karen Stein emphasizes that "telling stories, having a voice, is a way to be empowered" (Stein, 2003), a notion particularly resonant in Atwood's use of marginalized narrators who assert their agency through narrative reconstruction. Stein's assertion aligns with the broader feminist imperative to reclaim silenced voices, particularly those of women from socio-politically marginalized backgrounds. However, Stein's emphasis on narrative empowerment, while compelling, may understate the structural and affective limits placed on the act of storytelling itself. In *The Year of the Flood*, storytelling is often mediated by trauma, silence, and manipulation—as seen in Toby's fragmented memory and Adam One's narrative omissions—raising questions about the ethical ambiguities and epistemic fragility — that is, the vulnerability of knowledge-making under duress — inherent in survival storytelling.

Professor Ursula Heise's concept of multiscalar narration offers another lens through which to read Atwood's layered storytelling (Heise, 2008). Heise argues that narratives addressing ecological crises must operate across multiple scales—personal, communal, global—reflecting the interconnectedness of human and environmental systems. Atwood's novel accomplishes this by moving between intimate, individual memories and expansive societal collapse, suggesting that personal narratives can carry ecological insight and resilience. While Heise's model of multiscalar narration is invaluable for analyzing environmental narratives that bridge the personal and planetary, it has been critiqued for insufficiently accounting for cultural and gendered particularities in how narratives are constructed and received. In the context of *The Year of the Flood*, Atwood's female protagonists—Toby and Ren—navigate survival through storytelling that is deeply gendered and shaped by specific socio-economic constraints, suggesting a need to complement Heise's framework with feminist and intersectional narrative theories that attend to embodied experience and historical marginalization.

Professor Rob Nixon's theory of slow violence further contextualizes the novel's depiction of ecological collapse (Nixon, 2011). Nixon defines slow violence as the gradual, often invisible environmental destruction disproportionately affecting the poor and disenfranchised. The narrative voices in *The Year of the Flood*—particularly those from the Pleeblands—document this kind of violence not through institutional reports but through lived experience, thereby countering the invisibility of such harm.

Finally, professor Elizabeth DeLoughrey's work on postcolonial ecologies and geopolitical asymmetries underscores the importance of representing environmental injustice through stories from the margins (DeLoughrey, 2019). Her framework affirms that ecocritical inquiry must attend to how environmental degradation intersects with colonial legacies, class, gender, and race. In Atwood's text, the act of storytelling becomes a means by which the marginalized assert their relevance, reinterpret their realities, and construct strategies for survival in the face of both environmental and systemic violence.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Margaret Atwood's *MaddAddam* trilogy has garnered significant scholarly attention for its contributions to climate fiction (cli-fi), feminist science fiction, and dystopian literature. Scholars have extensively explored the trilogy's critique of biotechnology, late capitalism, ecological collapse, and the socio-political consequences of scientific hubris. Recent eco-critical scholarship has deepened this discourse by foregrounding the narrative dimensions of survival. Ursula Heise, in her foundational work *Sense of Place and Sense of Planet*, calls for

narratives that bridge the local and the global in representations of environmental crisis. Atwood's use of multi-vocal narration, especially in *The Year of the Flood*, resonates with this model, enabling readers to witness the collapse of civilization through diverse, often conflicting, perspectives.

In this context, Sarawut Kururatphan offers an important intervention by drawing attention to the survival disparity between the scientifically elite residents of the Compounds and the marginalized members of the God's Gardeners (Kururatphan, 2022). While the former fail to endure the engineered apocalypse, the latter—despite their social precarity—survive. Kururatphan attributes this resilience to what he terms "Environmental Ethics," a holistic framework encompassing spiritual faith, sustainable values, and practical life skills. These commitments, he argues, are central to the Gardeners' endurance.

Additional studies bring diverse theoretical lenses to Atwood's novel. Dr. Anil Kumar adopts a posthumanist perspective to examine the systemic oppression of human and nonhuman life forms in a society dominated by genetic engineering (Kumar, 2024). Muhammad Ilham Ali employs Mimetic Theory to analyze the novel's treatment of social issues, including violence, rivalry, and scapegoating within dystopian frameworks (Ali, 2024).

Dr. Selin Sencan approaches *The Year of the Flood* from three critical dimensions: the representation of human and nonhuman relationships, the critique of capitalist-driven environmental exploitation, and the ethical quandaries posed by biotechnological innovation (Sencan, 2024). She argues that the novel imagines alternative frameworks for coexistence and sustainability, underscoring Atwood's call for collective responsibility and ethical transformation in response to ecological collapse. Similarly, Dr. Najmeh Nouri's work on the Anthropocene in Atwood's fiction emphasizes the necessity of cultivating global environmental awareness as a shared human obligation (Nouri, 2019).

Amani Akram Yahya Al-Sammarraie, Hardev Kaur, and Ida Baizura Binti Bahar, in a joint study conducted in Malaysia, examine the novel's apocalyptic imagery through the lens of "ecophobia" —a fear of nature exacerbated by environmental collapse (Al-Sammarraie, Kaur, & Bahar, 2022). They argue that this fear can be mitigated through ecological awareness, as demonstrated by the characters' evolving relationships with the natural world.

Independent scholar Suman Makhaik Kalra frames *The Year of the Flood* as a "cli-fi" text concerned with survival and sustainability (Kalra, 2018). Drawing on the framework of resilience, relinquishment, and restoration, Kalra advocates for an adaptive approach to confronting ecological crisis and restoring environmental balance.

Researchers such as Vasundhara Prasad and Dr. Survi Saraswat explore the novel through the lens of ecofeminism (Prasad & Saraswat, 2025). They argue that Atwood parallels the exploitation of women's bodies with the degradation of nature in a world dominated by unchecked consumerism and scientific arrogance. Their work highlights the novel's critique of patriarchal systems that render both women and the environment as sites of control and commodification.

Melissa Cristina Silva De Sá offers a unique theological reading of the apocalyptic narrative, contrasting the God's Gardeners' inclusive, knowledge-based survival ethos with traditional Christian notions of divine selection (Silva De Sá, 2016). She argues that, unlike conventional eschatologies in which survival depends on divine judgment, the God's Gardeners locate salvation in ecological awareness and spiritual pragmatism: "unlike the traditional Christian

view of Jesus Christ judging and selecting people to live, the God's Gardeners preach that survival is a matter of having the right knowledge" (Silva De Sá, 2014).

While much of the existing scholarship focuses on Atwood's engagement with speculative fiction and environmental ethics, relatively few studies examine storytelling itself as a survival strategy—especially in relation to gender, class, and ecological marginalization. This article aims to contribute to that emerging discourse by emphasizing the restorative and performative power of narrative in *The Year of the Flood*, particularly through the voices of its female narrators and the alternative theological frameworks presented by the God's Gardeners.

4. METHODOLOGY

This article employs a qualitative textual analysis of Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*, with a specific focus on the functions of storytelling as a mode of psychological and communal survival in the context of climate catastrophe. Rooted in literary ecocriticism, feminist narrative theory, and postcolonial ecological thought, the analysis foregrounds the narrative strategies Atwood uses to articulate resilience, voice, and ecological consciousness through marginalized characters.

The narrative structure and voice in *The Year of the Flood* are examined through the lens of multiscalar narration (Heise), slow violence (Nixon), and the empowering functions of storytelling (Stein), particularly as they intersect with gender, class, and ecological vulnerability. The novel's multivocal form—comprising first-person and limited third-person perspectives, oral storytelling, religious sermons, and overlapping timelines—offers a rich ground for interrogating how narrative acts as both a record of survival and a survival mechanism in itself. In addition to ecofeminist and ecocritical frameworks, the analysis draws on Paul Ricoeur's concept of narrative identity, which posits that individuals construct a coherent sense of self over time through the employment of life events. Ricoeur's theory underscores the temporal and ethical dimensions of storytelling—how people interpret their past to navigate the present and project future possibilities. In Atwood's novel, this dynamic is evident in how Toby and Ren reconfigure fractured memories into survivable identities, suggesting that narrative coherence—however fragile—is essential to psychic continuity in the face of ecological breakdown.

The approach prioritizes close reading techniques to trace patterns of repetition, fragmentation, self-narration, and myth-making across the three primary narrators: Toby, Ren, and Adam One. Particular attention is given to how storytelling mediates trauma, shapes identity, and creates forms of community within a devastated and stratified ecological world.

By analyzing these narrative elements in tandem with relevant theoretical frameworks, the study seeks to demonstrate how storytelling functions not only as a thematic motif but also as a narrative method of resisting dominant technoscientific discourse, reclaiming agency, and imagining alternative futures in the Anthropocene.

5. STORYTELLING IN *THE YEAR OF THE FLOOD*

"Storytelling is not a luxury to humanity; it's almost as necessary as bread. We cannot imagine ourselves without it, because the self is a story." – Margaret Atwood. (*The World Split Open: Great Authors on How and Why We Write*)

In *The Year of the Flood*, Margaret Atwood constructs a richly layered narrative in which storytelling is not merely a literary device but a vital means of survival—psychologically,

socially, and ecologically. Unlike traditional linear narratives, the novel employs a fragmented and overlapping structure composed of three distinct narrative strands: Toby's third-person limited perspective, Ren's first-person memoir-like account, and the didactic sermons of Adam One, interspersed with hymns from the God's Gardeners group. This multi-vocal narrative form aligns with Ursula Heise's idea of multiscalar narration, offering diverse temporal, spatial, and epistemological scales through which the apocalypse is experienced and understood.

Each narrative mode serves a different function. Toby's chapters, though written in third-person, are deeply interior and reflect the personal fragmentation and identity shifts experienced in the wake of trauma. Ren's first-person narration offers an account of her coming-of-age under the constant threat of social instability and viral collapse, capturing the psychological negotiations of a young woman in a dystopian world. Adam One's sermons, stylized as oral performances, articulate the collective philosophy of an ecological cult, blending biblical cadence with scientific awareness and serving as both moral guidance and memory-keeping for a fragile community.

This layered storytelling mirrors the fractured and uncertain nature of the post-pandemic world, in which traditional institutions and epistemologies have collapsed. Importantly, Atwood constructs these narrative forms as internal dialogues—stories the characters tell themselves to endure isolation, loss, and uncertainty. Toby, for instance, re-narrates her identity through a series of roles—daughter, herbalist, Eve, survivor—each linked to specific knowledge systems and survival tactics. Similarly, Ren's narrative is a process of meaning-making, reconstructing her past through emotional memory and psychological growth.

The novel also draws from oral storytelling traditions, particularly through the sermons and hymns of the God's Gardeners, which function not only as religious instruction but as repositories of ecological knowledge. These stories blend prophecy, metaphor, and practical survival tips, modeling a form of pedagogical narrative that sustains the group both ideologically and physically. In this way, Atwood connects storytelling to intergenerational knowledge transmission, community bonding, and ideological resilience.

Atwood's decision to center marginalized voices—women, working-class characters, ecological dissenters—also situates storytelling as a counter-discursive practice, challenging the dominant narratives of technological supremacy and capitalist control perpetuated by the Compounds. The novel's structural fragmentation, its use of multiple perspectives, and its emphasis on storytelling as a daily ritual all reinforce the idea that in the absence of institutional stability, narrative itself becomes a mode of survival.

While analyzing the storytelling of the novel, Dr. Saraswat and V. Prasad argue that Atwood alternates between different timelines and perspectives to critique the way knowledge and history are controlled. Thus, according to these researchers, Atwood challenges the existing "dominant narratives that justify exploitation in the name of progress, reinforcing ecofeminist calls for a more holistic and inclusive form of knowledge transmission".

6. SURVIVAL THROUGH STORYTELLING

Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood* positions storytelling as a central strategy for navigating the psychological, physical, and social disintegration brought about by ecological catastrophe. Through the distinct yet overlapping voices of Toby, Ren, and Adam One, the novel demonstrates how narrative functions not only to document trauma but also to **reshape personal identity**, **negotiate memory**, and **foster communal survival**. Each character's

storytelling is deeply tied to their positionality—gender, class, age, belief—and collectively they illustrate what Karen Stein calls the construction of "a social self" through narration. Their stories not only preserve identity amid chaos but also actively reshape the future.

6.1. Toby's Story: Narrative as Self-Reclamation

Toby's chapters are written in third-person limited narration, a stylistic choice that reflects her psychological fragmentation and emotional detachment. Despite the apparent narrative distance, this perspective remains intensely personal, documenting her transformation from a traumatized survivor into a grounded spiritual leader. Her life unfolds through a succession of shifting identities: a daughter who loses her family, a student forced to abandon her education, a "furzooter"—donning fake-fur animal suits with cartoon heads and hanging advertising signs around her neck, a desperate young woman selling sometimes her hair or at times even her eggs each month, a fast-food franchise worker abused by her employer, and eventually a Gardener, an Eve, and a protector. Even her name evolves with each role, from Toby to Tobiatha to "Inaccessible Rail". Her shifting roles are examples of what Stein identifies as storytelling's function in "asserting perspective and resisting silencing". Each new identity Toby adopts becomes a narrative act – an effort to overwrite past trauma with a livable present. These identities are not merely imposed upon Toby—they are internally narrated and re-narrated, becoming mechanisms through which she processes trauma and reclaims agency. For instance, when she returns to her former home after years of absence, Toby recalls her father's teachings. She retrieves a hidden rifle from beneath the patio stones of a neighboring house, drawing strength from the memory of her father's words: "You never know when you might need it" (Atwood, 2009, p. 36). In this moment, storytelling functions as a strategy of self-reclamation; Toby must continually reassert her identity in order to survive. Her psychological endurance is bound to her ability to construct continuity across these fractured roles: "Gradually, Toby stopped thinking she should leave the Gardeners. She didn't really believe in their creed, but she no longer disbelieved. One season blended into the next – rainy, stormy, hot and dry, cooler and dry, rainy and warm – and then one year into another" (Atwood, 2009, p. 108).

Toby also turns to Gardener's teachings and ecological knowledge—conveyed through ritualized stories and hymns—as frameworks for both physical survival and mental resilience. As Ren recounts, Toby's survival instincts are drawn from these teachings: "The Gardeners taught that you should never drink right from a stream, especially one near a city: you should make a hole beside it, so the water would be filtered at least a little. Toby has an empty bottle… She fills it from the water hole…" (Atwood, 2009, p. 404).

Her strategic silence and occasional narrative gaps resonate with trauma theory that argues that narrative fragmentation in Atwood's fiction reflects the psychological discontinuities of survival. Toby's selective memory, role-switching, and eventual narrative fluency mirror her psychic healing and increasing agency.

Rob Nixon's "slow violence" is also evident in Toby's life. She survives gendered violence, economic precarity, and ecological neglect long before the actual apocalypse. Her knowledge of herbs, plants, and survival rituals—acquired not through scientific institutions but through Gardener oral culture—makes her resilient in ways that the elite technocrats of the Compounds are not. As Kururatphan emphasizes, this environmental ethic—anchored in faith, humility, and ecological pragmatism—is a core reason for Gardener's endurance.

Toby's narrative, although not outwardly expressive, serves as a form of what DeLoughrey would term "subaltern storytelling"—representing the ecological and emotional realities of

those outside dominant paradigms. Her story is a resistance to silencing, and in telling it (or having it told for her), she asserts survival not as endurance alone, but as self-definition.

6.2. Ren's Story: Memory, Youth, and the Fluidity of Identity

Ren's narrative opens with a guiding principle of the Gardeners: "Beware of words. Be careful what you write. Leave no trails" (Atwood, 2009, p. 15). This credo shapes her approach to storytelling, making her cautious about leaving written records and encouraging her to rely instead on memory and oral transmission. Influenced by the Gardeners' teachings, Ren places faith in the impermanence of material forms and the enduring power of the spoken word: "The Spirit travels from mouth to mouth, not from thing to thing: books could be burnt, paper crumble away, computers could be destroyed. Only the spirit lives forever, and the Spirit isn't a thing" (Atwood, 2009, p. 15). Her caution around writing and trust in oral transmission echo the God's Gardeners' ecological epistemology. These habits reflect what Heise would describe as multiscalar awareness: her understanding of ecological collapse emerges through intimate bodily experience (hunger, fear, contamination) and broader cultural rituals (hymns, teachings, ecological proverbs).

Told in the first person, Ren's narrative offers an emotionally immediate account of growing up in a disintegrating world. In contrast to Toby's restrained and retrospective voice, Ren's storytelling is raw, fragmented, and shaped by the volatility of adolescence. Her perspective is notably intimate and psychologically complex, navigating themes such as love, friendship, jealousy, and bodily vulnerability. At the heart of her account lies the longing of a lonely child for paternal affection—a father she scarcely remembers, yet idealizes: "I wanted my real father, who must still love me: if he'd known where I was, he'd surely have come to take me back" (Atwood, 2009, p. 74). Her youthful imagination manifests in tender desires and surreal metaphors, such as her wish for a "jellyfish bracelet" like Amanda's, or her attempt to make sense of the shabby dresses and dull lifestyles of the Gardeners: "Why couldn't they (we) look more like butterflies and less like parking lots?" (Atwood, 2009, p. 76).

Ren's storytelling becomes a vehicle for emotional growth and psychological survival. Her narration evolves as she matures, marked by moments of reflection that signal increasing self-awareness. For instance, her childhood banter with Amanda and Bernice — "Knock, knock," said Amanda. "Who's there?" said Bernice's voice…. "Gang," said Amanda. "Gang who?" "Gangrene," said Amanda" (Atwood, 2009, p. 151)—illustrates how humor becomes a mode of coping. Later, reflecting on her mother's troubled relationship with Zeb, Ren acknowledges emotional complexity with a newfound maturity: "Now I can see how that can happen. You can fall in love with anybody – a fool, a criminal, a nothing. There are no good rules" (Atwood, 2009, p. 75). Her fragmented memory, nostalgic longing, and frequent re-interpretation of past events reinforce Rebecca Evans's view that storytelling in dystopian literature is often a psychological survival strategy. Ren processes trauma through self-narration, constructing a sense of coherence in a world of broken systems.

Crucially, Ren frequently revisits and reinterprets past events, demonstrating how storytelling enables her to process trauma and revise earlier understandings. She becomes aware, for example, of how fabricated stories—such as her mother's false narrative used to re-enter the Compounds—can wield destructive power. The tragic consequences of a fabricated story, particularly the death of Burt, reveal to Ren the dual potential of storytelling: to empower and to betray. As DeLoughrey emphasizes, stories from the margins carry both liberatory and destructive potential, depending on who tells them and to what end. This tension is articulated in Ren's self-aware confession: "I saw the temptation. I saw it clearly. I would come up with more bizarre details about my cultish life, and then I would pretend that I thought all these

things were as warped as the HelthWyzer kids did…. How easy it is, treachery. You just slide into it" (Atwood, 2009, p. 238).

Ren's narrative arc illustrates how storytelling fosters resilience—not by erasing vulnerability, but by embracing and narrating it. Her transformation is marked by agency: by the novel's end, she is no longer a passive observer, but the active agent who rescues Amanda. This shift signifies more than personal growth—it reflects the power of storytelling to shape one's sense of self and one's ability to act within a chaotic world. For Ren, narrative is not only a survival mechanism but also a means of becoming.

6.3. Adam One's Story: Myth-Making and Collective Survival

Adam One's sermons are crafted as oral, quasi-biblical narratives that offer a communal theology grounded in ecological reverence and scientific awareness. As the spiritual leader of the God's Gardeners, Adam One functions as a myth-maker, tasked with articulating meaning and moral direction amid environmental collapse. His sermons interweave prophecy, ecological ethics, and spiritual philosophy, functioning not merely as religious doctrine but as pragmatic tools for survival. They remind followers how—and why—to live ethically in a world on the brink of destruction. Unlike Toby and Ren, whose storytelling is personal, Adam One's narrative voice is directed outward, toward a collective audience. His storytelling cultivates moral cohesion, collective identity, and hope. His sermons are exemplary of what Ursula Heise describes as narrative that links personal and planetary scales—connecting survival skills (like foraging or water purification) to ethical reflection and spiritual cohesion. When Adam One prophetically warns his followers of the coming disaster, readers are struck by the eerie prescience of Atwood's narrative—particularly given the novel's publication eleven years before the COVID-19 pandemic. His sermon foresees a cataclysmic upheaval: "For the Waterless Flood is coming, in which all buying and selling will cease, and we will find ourselves thrown back upon our own resources, in the midst of God's bounteous Garden" (139). In moments like this, Adam One's storytelling resonates both within the fictional world and beyond it, blurring the lines between allegory and real-world crisis.

Each sermon of Adam One is followed by hymns from *The God's Gardeners Oral Hymnbook*, which serve not only as spiritual reinforcement but also as vehicles for practical ecological instruction. One such verse reads:

"The inner bark of Spruce and Birch
For extra Vitamin C –
But do not take too much of each,
Or you will kill the tree." (Atwood, 2009, p. 140)

These hymns exemplify how Adam One's narrative mode combines environmental science with spiritual discipline, reinforcing survival skills through accessible, memorable forms.

Adam One also encourages learning through embodied and visual practice. Echoing early human forms of communication, the Gardeners instruct the children to use slates and chalk to draw plants—a method meant to reinforce memory and ecological awareness: "We had our slates and chalk because we always drew the Wild Botanicals to help us memorize them. Then we'd wipe off our drawings, and the plant would be in our heads. There's nothing like drawing a thing to make you really see it…" (Atwood, 2009, p. 165). This pedagogical method reflects the Gardener ethos of sustainability, humility, and deep attention to the natural world.

Adam One's storytelling also aligns with Rob Nixon's idea that literature can counteract the invisibility of slow violence. His sermons give voice to the ecological degradation and social abandonment that shape Pleebland lives, while also promoting ecological reverence and behavioral change.

However, Adam One's role as storyteller also involves a degree of narrative manipulation. In an effort to preserve group morale and ideological purity, he obscures the truth surrounding Eve Six - Pilar's death. Although he knows she intentionally used Death Angel and poppy to have an assisted suicide, he attributes her death to a species misidentification. This deliberate distortion underscores how storytelling in times of crisis may prioritize emotional and communal stability over factual accuracy.

Ultimately, Adam One embodies the most public and strategic form of storytelling in *The Year of the Flood*. His sermons sustain belief, ritual, and structure amid societal collapse. By fusing scientific knowledge with spiritual tradition, he enables the God's Gardeners to envision a future rooted not in technological mastery but in ecological kinship and reverence. Even in the face of destruction, Adam One continues to inspire: "The CorpSeCorps may have destroyed our Garden, but they have not destroyed our Spirit. Eventually, we shall plant again" (Atwood, 2009, p. 297).

In her thesis, Melissa Cristina argues that in both *Oryx and Crake* and *The Year of the Flood,* Atwood uses storytelling as a means of survival of human culture. She writes, "In both novels, this culture is kept and modified through oral tales. The reader is taken to the beginning of human culture, with stories shared by the fire and lessons being passed through tales of experience. In an Atwoodian way, the end is the beginning, and the beginning is also the end. In a cyclic view of both history and literature, stories are at the core of humans building blocks". In a separate research article Melissa Cristina identifies Toby and Ren as the 'actual recipients of stories'. She says, "they learn through them (stories) and incorporate them into their lives, changing them at times, as in traditional oral cultures".

Professor Karen Stein, in her essay "Talking Back to Bluebeard: Atwood's Fictional Storytellers," argues that Atwood's female protagonists use storytelling as a means of self-definition and resistance. Through the act of narrating their experiences, these characters confront their personal histories, assert their own perspectives, and push back against efforts to silence them. Stein emphasizes that storytelling serves as a way to construct and inscribe a social self, highlighting how many of Atwood's characters gain agency through the very act of narration. In this context, storytelling becomes a source of empowerment—an assertion of voice that translates into authority. As Stein notes, "finding a voice is part of the survival strategy, a means to gain power and control".

Collectively, these three characters reveal that survival in *The Year of the Flood* is not merely about avoiding death, but about maintaining a coherent sense of self, forging relationships, and passing on knowledge. Storytelling allows the characters **to** preserve memory**,** navigate trauma**,** and construct alternative epistemologies outside the dominant logic of the Compounds.

7. CONCLUSION

In *The Year of the Flood*, Margaret Atwood reclaims storytelling as a deeply human and historically enduring response to ecological and existential crisis. Through the novel's fragmented narrative structure, multi-vocal perspectives, and oral traditions, Atwood constructs storytelling not simply as a thematic element, but as a mode of survival—psychological, communal, and ecological. In the wake of systemic collapse and pandemic

devastation, her characters survive not only through resourcefulness and ecological knowledge but also by narrating themselves into continuity, meaning, and hope.

The stories of Toby, Ren, and Adam One illustrate how different positionalities—shaped by gender, class, education, and access to power—condition the way narrative functions in crisis. Toby's narrative affirms storytelling as internal and restorative, a quiet assertion of selfhood amid loss. Ren's voice, personal and emotional, charts the fluidity of adolescent identity and the maturation of agency through memory. Adam One's sermons, rooted in religious rhetoric and ecological ethics, model narrative as ideological and communal, sustaining collective belief and cohesion in a time of moral and material uncertainty.

By foregrounding these distinct narrative forms, Atwood challenges dominant, technoscientific paradigms of survival and progress. In contrast to the cold rationalism of the Compounds, storytelling emerges as a counter-discursive, empathetic, and sustaining force, especially for those pushed to the margins of post-apocalyptic society. In doing so, *The Year of the Flood* not only enriches the genre of climate fiction but also reasserts the cultural and political relevance of narrative itself—as a way of knowing, resisting, and enduring in the Anthropocene.

Beyond its literary significance, Atwood's model of storytelling offers valuable insights for contemporary climate activism and community resilience. The novel suggests that storytelling can serve as a grassroots technology for processing collective trauma, preserving cultural memory, and fostering ecological awareness. In climate-vulnerable communities, especially those historically marginalized, storytelling practices—oral histories, local mythologies, and cultural rituals—can function as tools of adaptation and resistance. Atwood's emphasis on multivocal, inclusive, and relational storytelling aligns with current efforts in environmental justice movements to amplify diverse voices and cultivate climate narratives rooted in lived experience rather than abstract data. As the climate crisis continues to escalate in the real world, and as apocalyptic imaginaries increasingly mirror lived experience, Atwood's novel offers a vital reminder: when institutions fall, when systems fail, and when silence threatens to engulf the vulnerable, telling stories remains one of the most powerful tools of survival.

REFERENCES

Ali, M. I. (2024). Redefining norms: A study of Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*. *Indonesian Journal of Contemporary Multidisciplinary Research (MODERN)*, *3*(2), 307–324. https://doi.org/10.55927/modern.v3i2.8393

Al-Sammarraie, A. A. Y., Kaur, H., & Bahar, I. B. B. (2022). Eco-awareness as an antithesis of apocalyptic eco-phobia in Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, *49*(4), 469–479. https://doi.org/10.35516/hum.v49i4.2101

Atwood, M. (2009). *The year of the flood*. Nan A. Talese/Doubleday.

Atwood, M. (2014). The text in which I do not appear. In M. Atwood & J. Freeman (Eds.), *The world split open: Great authors on how and why we write* (pp. 69–78). Tin House Books.

Benitez-Nelson, C. (2025, July 9). Climate change helped fuel rains that caused Texas floods. *The Texas Tribune*. https://www.texastribune.org/2025/07/09/texas-hill-country-floods-kerrville-climate-change/

DeLoughrey, E. (2019). *Allegories of the Anthropocene*. Duke University Press.

Heise, U. K. (2008). *Sense of place and sense of planet: The environmental imagination of the global*. Oxford University Press.

Kalra, S. M. (2018). Examining Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood* as a cli-fi: An effort towards survival and sustainability. *Arts Artium*, *6*, 101–107.

Kumar, A. (2024). Contesting subjectivity: A posthuman perspective in Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*. *International Journal of Language, Literature and Culture*, *4*(4), 30–36. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijllc.4.4.4

Kururatphan, S. (2022). Ethics for survival in Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*. *Thoughts*, *2022*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.58837/CHULA.THTS.2022.1.1

Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor*. Harvard University Press.

Nouri, N. (2019). Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood* in the age of the Anthropocene. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, *2*(3), 133–136.

Prasad, V., & Saraswat, S. (2025). Women and the environment in a dystopian world: An ecofeminist reading of Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, *7*(2), 1–5.

Sencan, S. (2024). Speculative ethics and environmental justice in Margaret Atwood's *The Year of the Flood*. In K. Baysal (Ed.), *Contemporary studies in world literatures* (pp. 88–100). All Sciences Academy.

Silva De Sá, M. C. (2014). *Storytelling as survival in Margaret Atwood's Oryx and Crake and The Year of the Flood* (Master's thesis, The Federal University of Minas Gerais).

Silva De Sá, M. C. (2016). Retelling apocalypse in Margaret Atwood's *Oryx and Crake* and *The Year of the Flood*. *LiNQ: Literature in North Queensland*, *41*. https://journals.jcu.edu.au/linq/article/view/3191

Stein, K. (2003). Talking back to Bluebeard: Atwood's fictional storytellers. In S. R. Wilson (Ed.), *Margaret Atwood's textual assassinations* (pp. 154–171). Ohio State University Press.